


Q1 The College Technology Committee has a clearly articulated purpose or charge.




- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree

1
3
2


Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree

Q2 The members of the College Technology Committee understand their role as documented in Engaging All Voices.



Comment #1: Others probably do, but I'm always kind of fuzzy on where we fit in. The lines on the org chart were redrawn recently, weren't they? I should know this but don't remember clearly.

Q3 Membership of the College Technology Committee has been static and consistent.




Comment #1: For the most part except for our student representatives which is to be expected.

Comment #2: In the last year or so.


Comment #3: I'm not sure if static and consistent is good or bad. There has been a core group of members who return year to year, which is good for the sake of consistency. But I don't know if we want to be static. We want to be energized by new people with new ideas, at least a little, don't we? Granted, we've not been able to find these people, but we shouldn't stop trying.

Q4 The committee is meaningful.




Comment #1: How else would the college get a technology plan ??? And who else will ask hard questions and stay on top of technology "things" that need to get done? Absolutely meaningful!

Q5 The committee membership is appropriate.




Comment #1: A few more regular members representing more of the college community would be good.

Q6 The Committee articulates clear goals and accomplishments at the beginning of the academic year.




Q7 The Committee achieved the goals set at the beginning of the academic year.



Comment #1: Now, of course, I can't remember all our goals. But I know the big one was the technology plan, which was completed, so I marked "agree".


Q8 The Committee is provided information that is clear and understandable in a timely manner.



Comment #1: We are often not provided information from the district in a timely manner. This committee should be apprised of district technology projects, certainly as they are happening, and probably before they happen so we might provide input on how they may affect the


college in ways not thought of by the district. That sounds like the district doesn't know what it's doing, and I don't mean that, but we may have some perspective on a project that may not be immediately apparent. Another example is that Dan Duffy spoke to us early in fall then planned to provide more information later but never did, not in person, and not in the form of a list of prioritized projects he would be working on. I'm not sure from whom else we might be provided information. College administration? That doesn't happen so much, either. E.g. Starfish.

Q9 The Committee utilizes the information provided in the process of developing recommendations.



Comment #1: We definitely use information at our disposal to develop recommendations.

Q10 The discussion and recommendations of the Committee have been inclusive and allowed all Committee members opportunity for input.



Comment #1: I think everyone would say s/he is being heard.

Q11 What were the most significant accomplishments for the year?

Comment #1: Finishing the Technology Plan.

Comment #2: Getting organized and beginning work

Comment #3: Getting the program review done

Comment #4: The new technology plan.

Comment #5: the tech plan (thanks, Jenni!)

Q12 What information, data and/or documents could be provided to improve the effectiveness of the Committee?

Comment #1: Current list of software being used. Current list of computers in use (age, specs)

Comment #2: More communication should come from the district IT folks about what's going on. Ideally, we would have a district person attend CTC meetings as we once did.

Q13 Do you have suggestions as to how the Committee's overall effectiveness may be improved?

Comment #1: See #12.

Comment #2: Now that PR is done, it would be good to move to specific action items, such as how we are going to update office computers, etc. It would be nice to have some committee "wins" to show off to the campus.

Q14 Do you attend regularly and if not, why not?

Comment #1: yes

Comment #2: as often as I am able. Conflicts in my schedule keep me from attending EVERY meeting

Comment #3: Yes

Comment #4: Yes!

Q15 How might the evaluation tool be improved?

There are no responses.

Q16 Is there anything else you would like to add?

Comment #1 It's been a great ride these past twelve years. I'll send you a new systems librarian to replace me in the fall. Keep up the great work! Ellen

Comment #2 I think we can be representatives from our constituencies for what is needed in terms of technology. I don't think we need to do a big survey. We can do a brief survey, but mainly we can rely on committee members for input.