

Course Identification Numbering System (C-ID)

STATUS REPORT

Since its development and inception in 2006, the Course Identification Numbering System (C-ID) has relied heavily on the participation and involvement of faculty and articulation officers from both the California community colleges and the CSU. The course submission process for C-ID is one that calls for commitment from discipline faculty to review submitted course outlines and to use their discipline expertise to determine whether or not a course outline is aligned to a C-ID descriptor. Unlike the course-to-course articulation process, C-ID descriptors are not intended to describe a course in its entirety; C-ID descriptors are limited to the course elements necessary to recognize courses as comparable and extensive enough to be the basis for articulation. Consequently, receipt of a C-ID designation requires that a course reflect all elements of the C-ID descriptor, as opposed to some percentage. At the local level, CCC articulation officers (AOs) are responsible for submitting courses to C-ID and communicating outcomes to their faculty, as well as working with their faculty to revise courses when needed in a manner that does not impact other existing articulation. At the CSU, AOs share completed descriptors with their faculty and, ideally, establish articulation based on C-ID descriptors when deemed appropriate. In order to provide on-going guidance to C-ID operations, the C-ID AO subgroup was formed in March 2013 to address known issues with C-ID and to assist in problem solving when issues arise. The C-ID AO subgroup, consisting of four CCC AOs and two CSU AOs, is scheduled to meet weekly and is charged with bringing issues to C-ID as well as facilitating communication with the field.

As was shared in previous C-ID communications, C-ID is in the midst of various technology upgrades. In addition to changes to facilitate C-ID processes more generally, work is actively in process to integrate C-ID into ASSIST Next-Gen. It is anticipated that CCC AOs will be able to submit courses to C-ID through ASSIST and that CSU AOs will be alerted to new opportunities to articulate based on C-ID approvals as new course determinations are made. While the impact of C-ID-based articulation is going to vary with CCC and CSU, we can share that one CSU established over 1,446 new articulations based on C-ID, and that one CCC received over 55 new articulations based on C-ID.

C-ID is always looking for ways to improve and benefits from its many interactions with the field. In this newsletter, we want to specifically address concerns related to the length of the course review process, the need for additional CSU reviewers, the need to ensure awareness of the appeals process, and the perceived high number of denied courses. This newsletter is dedicated to providing answers to questions that we frequently hear. Our goal is to ensure that the field is well informed with respect to the current status of courses in C-ID. Recent changes were made to the C-ID website to ensure AO awareness of the appeals process. Any appeal is given the highest priority. Typically, the turn-around for an appeal is less than 10 days. If ever there is a delay greater than 2 weeks, please follow up with an email to Krystinne@asccc.org.

C-ID reviewers are trained and opportunities are sought to provide opportunities to norm the reviewers for a discipline. Training materials are available at <https://c-id.net/coursereview.html>, where the document "C-ID Norming and Training Resource for Course Outline of Record (CoR) Primary Reviewers and Regular Faculty Reviewers" can be found, as well as the training PowerPoint. A FAQs document is currently under development to address various issues, such as the review of prerequisites, the role of SLOs, and the submission of multiple courses for a C-ID designation.

As quantitative data can obscure the elements that impact the review process, we've struggled with how best to present data related to the course review process. It is important to recognize that any C-ID data represent a snapshot in time and that the data are ultimately dynamic. We hope that the format below will prove to be informative and useful to the field. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact us at support@c-id.net.

Course Identification Numbering System (C-ID)

COURSES BY DISCIPLINE:

The following table is a snapshot of all the disciplines currently accepting submissions for descriptors, as of May 4, 2015.

Over 15,228 courses have received determinations (total for approved, conditional, and not approved columns), with 12,197 courses receiving an approved determination (an 80% approval rate).

Key:

| | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Disciplines with < 10 courses in-progress or submitted | Disciplines with 11 – 20 courses in-progress or submitted | Disciplines with 21 – 40 courses in-progress or submitted | Disciplines with > 41 courses in-progress or submitted |
|--|---|---|--|

| Discipline | # Courses | # Approved | # Submitted | # In Progress | Total in Submitted & In-Progress as of May 4, 2015 | Total in Submitted & In-Progress from Jan 5, 2015 | # Conditional | # Not Approved |
|--|-----------|------------|-------------|---------------|--|---|---------------|----------------|
| Nutrition/Dietetics | 37 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 16 | 5 |
| Accounting | 246 | 200 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 31 | 13 |
| Computer Science | 344 | 271 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 47 | 22 |
| Administration of Justice | 779 | 618 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 78 | 58 |
| Child Development | 222 | 207 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 1 |
| Psychology | 733 | 629 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 73 | 14 |
| Education | 59 | 29 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 39 | 20 | 7 |
| Early Childhood Education | 594 | 530 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 52 | 7 |
| Geography | 549 | 501 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 48 | 17 | 21 |
| Geology | 418 | 313 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 54 | 29 |
| Public Health Science | 5 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | N/A | 0 | 0 |
| Communication Studies | 731 | 582 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 83 | 8 |
| Political Science | 445 | 349 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 83 | 72 | 10 |
| English | 1150 | 983 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 11 | 79 | 76 |
| Kinesiology | 175 | 130 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 28 | 14 | 17 |
| Physics | 586 | 495 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 34 | 53 | 24 |
| Philosophy | 382 | 300 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 26 | 39 |
| Journalism | 411 | 332 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 26 | 31 | 30 |
| Sociology | 709 | 566 | 5 | 11 | 16 | 42 | 53 | 27 |
| Theatre | 1155 | 960 | 2 | 14 | 16 | 28 | 104 | 72 |
| Information Technology and Information Systems | 95 | 57 | 6 | 13 | 19 | 19 | 7 | 9 |
| Economics | 236 | 179 | 6 | 14 | 20 | 46 | 15 | 16 |
| Biology | 381 | 199 | 10 | 12 | 22 | 23 | 78 | 73 |
| Business | 427 | 276 | 3 | 19 | 22 | 37 | 81 | 34 |
| History | 668 | 419 | 9 | 28 | 37 | 391 | 172 | 19 |
| Chemistry | 522 | 346 | 16 | 31 | 47 | 73 | 28 | 51 |
| Art History | 535 | 216 | 11 | 92 | 103 | 273 | 103 | 65 |
| Anthropology | 403 | 174 | 10 | 101 | 111 | 210 | 104 | 8 |

Course Identification Numbering System (C-ID)

| Discipline | # Courses | # Approved | # Submitted | # In Progress | Total in Submitted & In-Progress as of May 4, 2015 | Total in Submitted & In-Progress from Jan 5, 2015 | # Conditional | # Not Approved |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|--|---|---------------|----------------|
| Film, Television and Electronic Media | 130 | 6 | 32 | 83 | 115 | 93 | 6 | 3 |
| Music | 1482 | 1094 | 24 | 148 | 172 | 417 | 144 | 54 |
| Agriculture | 220 | 14 | 151 | 38 | 189 | 155 | 11 | 2 |
| Spanish | 479 | 268 | 6 | 184 | 190 | 251 | 19 | 2 |
| Mathematics | 1313 | 407 | 9 | 344 | 353 | 524 | 320 | 104 |
| Studio Arts | 1183 | 533 | 28 | 447 | 475 | 818 | 81 | 32 |
| Total | 17923 | 12197 | 489 | 1646 | 2016 | 3772 | 2079 | 952 |

BACKLOG OF COURSES IN C-ID:

The data below provide a snapshot of the number of courses that are backlogged in C-ID. The term “Backlogged course” is defined as a course sitting in *submitted* or *in-progress* status for over six months.

Total number of courses waiting in submitted or in-progress: **2,016**

Total # of backlogged courses: **1,201**

of courses waiting on CSU reviewer: 309

of courses submitted for nine descriptors without a CSU reviewer: 350

of courses assigned to two reviewers: 120

of courses waiting on primary reviewer determination: 422

Primary Reviewers:

There have been significant efforts to help primary reviewers in Math, Studio Arts, Art History, History, and Music to move through their courses queue. Math, Art History, and Music have all implemented a Secondary Primary Reviewer to help the main Primary Reviewer complete reviews.

Below shows the breakdown of the 422 courses waiting on the Primary Reviewer determination:

Art History: 57

Studio Arts: 3

History: 11

Engineering: 4

Film/TV/Electronic Media: 2

Kinesiology: 1

Math: 230

Music: 114

Need for CSU Course Reviewers:

The total number of courses waiting on a CSU reviewer and the total number of courses submitted against a descriptor without a CSU reviewer yields a total of 659 courses, over half of the backlogged courses in the queue. We need help in recruiting CSU faculty reviewers for the following descriptors that do not have any CSU reviewers available:

Film/TV/Electronic Media (FTVE): 110, 120, 125, 135

Spanish (SPAN): 200, 220

Studio Arts (ARTS): 230, 240, 260

In addition, the following descriptors could use additional CSU reviewers:

Anthropology (ANTH): 110, 115L, 130

Studio Arts (ARTS): 210, 220, 250, 281

Mathematics (MATH): 155

Spanish (SPAN): 100, 110, 210, 230

Economics (ECON): 210, 201

Course Identification Numbering System (C-ID)

ONGOING C-ID IMPROVEMENTS:

C-ID is constantly looking for ways to improve the current system and welcome suggestions from the field:

- We have made the C-ID Appeals Process more visible on the website (look for information under the “Art. Officers” tab) and are working hard to ensure that requests for appeals are responded to in a timely manner.
- The “Courses” page on the C-ID website is being worked on to ensure the correct data is appearing and that the spreadsheet download of all approved courses works.
- We are developing an FAQ section for our course reviewers to remind them of guidelines and policies for reviewing courses. This is to ensure that reviewers are consistent in their reviews and to provide them additional resources.
- Significant efforts were made to streamline the submission of honors and cross-listed courses by encouraging AOs to contact us with their honors or cross-listed course submissions once the regular course gets the determination.
- We will continue to hold special “review marathon sessions” for disciplines that are backlogged.