



Modesto Junior College
Resource Allocation Council
Meeting Minutes
July 9, 2013

Committee Member	Representing	Present	Absent
Michael Guerra	Chair, Vice President of College Administrative Services	✓	
Jenni Abbott	Grant Director	✓	
Kevin Alavezos	Academic Senate appointee		✓
Patrick Bettencourt	Dean Rep		✓
Andrew Campbell	Student Senate		✓
Iris Carroll	Academic Senate appointee		✓
Paul Cripe	Academic Senate appointee		✓
Rosanne Faughn	CSEA appointee	✓	
Cece Hudelson-Putnam	Dean Rep	✓	
Susan Kincade	Vice President of Instruction		✓
Lenicia Lopez	Student Senate	✓	
Nancy Sill	YFA appointee	✓	
Jill Stearns	President	✓	
Brenda Thames	Vice President of Student Services		✓
Marla Uliana	CSEA appointee		✓
Joan VanKuren	CSEA appointee	✓	
John Zamora	Academic Senate Appointee	✓	

Vacant position

Classified Staff Advisory Council
YFA Budget Analyst

Substitute

Name	Member Substituting For
Steve Collins	Iris Carroll

Business

1. Review of Agenda

Michael Guerra reviewed the agenda with members.

2. Review and Approval of Minutes

Minutes were reviewed and approved. Rosanne Faughn motioned to approve the minutes and Lenicia Lopez seconded that motion.

3. 2013-2014 Resource Allocation Discussion on Process

Michael Guerra distributed the RAC Guiding Principles & RAC Charter which was developed to support our process for resource allocation. The task of the council for this meeting is to determine the process on how \$150,000 will be recommended for distribution.

He stated we need to develop a process and own it. He thought the outline would trigger some thinking on how to allocate the \$150,000. Some ideas discussed;

Should there be a ceiling on amounts awarded?

Award all the money in the Fall and access in the Spring?

This is one time dollars, not ongoing.

For example, we wouldn't want to devote this money to personnel as that would be an ongoing expense.

Do we want to invest in programs, projects, remodels, improve outcomes?

What other funds can be leveraged-Title V, Lottery, CTE

One council member inquired once the money is awarded, how will we assess, measure success and outcomes? We were advised it is not the Resource Allocation Council's responsibility to assess as RAC is not making an assessment of the outcome. The assessment information will be made public from the Program Review and Assessment areas.

General Discussion:

There was general discussion on several ways to award the funds and discussion on what list will be used. Fall 2011 PR list was the start point; this was to be sent to Cece Hudelson-Putnam and Joan Van Kuren for sorting and distribution to area deans. This information would come back to the RAC once reviewed and updated on what acquisitions were made since 2011.

The RAC would then allocate the \$150,000 based on our Guiding Principles.

A council member also stated that the guiding principles should become the same for all councils for consistency. This was a widely accepted recommendation and the council affirmed this should be the case.

Jill stated we have an August timeline. The \$150,000 is a small amount of money compared to overall requests being in the millions. Jill posed the question do we find the number one priority that's going to be the most impactful or do we hit as many programs as possible? Do we address one time needs that can't be addressed any other way? Jill Stearns stated we will not consider annual asks. Nancy Sill added that a list to the deans with comprehensive re-ranked items with one time funding would be helpful.

Jill Stearns stated with the sheer volume of requests, this money is not going to make a big dent. However, it may make a big difference in some areas since there are not a lot of small grants such as \$5,000 - \$15,000 out there.

Jill Stearns stated we will not be ranking, but figuring out how to allocate the money. There was discussion about the list being from program review in fall of 2011 and the ask could continue to be the same.

John Zamora discussed closing the loop for the 2011 program review and document what's already been funded and compare to what the deans ranked in 2012 then carry over the left over of 2011. Rosanne Faughn agreed with John Zamora's suggestion.

Second Principle Apportionment (P₂)

Michael passed out the Second Principle Apportionment (P₂) that was posted on 06/24/2013. He walked through the elements contributing to the funding of our district. He noted the shortfall is attributed to revenues the state assumed would be directed to community colleges from the wind-down of redevelopment agencies (RDA). The state failed to meet its obligation to "true up" community college revenues by June 30, 2012.

He states there was a \$236,000,000 shortfall system wide, \$3.5 million to our own district and it's unknown what the outcome will be. He stated the Governor's Office, State Chancellor's Office, and CBO's are working on that through the State Department of Finance. Some resolution is expected soon and the future funding of the system will be made whole.

Summer Meetings

It was agreed upon that the next two meetings will be on Tuesday, August 6, 2013 and Tuesday, August 20, 2013.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Future Agenda

1. Allocation/distribution of funds

ADJOURNMENT